Smoothness, Semistability, and Toroidal Geometry

D. Abramovich[1]
Department of Mathematics, Boston University
111 Cummington, Boston, MA 02215, USA
abrmovic@math.bu.edu

A. J. de Jong
Department of Mathematics, Harvard University
1 Oxford Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
dejong@math.harvard.edu

February 5, 2008

arXiv:alg-geom/9603018v1 24 Mar 1996

0. INTRODUCTION

0.1. **Statement.** We provide a new proof of the following result:

Theorem 0.1 (Hironaka)**.** *Let X be a variety of finite type over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0, let $Z \subset X$ be a proper closed subset. There exists a modification $f : X_1 \to X$, such that X_1 is a quasi-projective nonsingular variety and $Z_1 = f^{-1}(Z)_{\rm red}$ is a strict divisor of normal crossings.*

Remark 0.2. Needless to say, this theorem is a weak version of Hironaka's well known theorem on resolution of singularities. Our proof has the feature that it builds on two standard techniques of algebraic geometry: semistable reduction for curves, and toric geometry.

Remark 0.3. Another proof of the same result was discovered independently by F. Bogomolov and T. Pantev [B-P]. The two proofs are similar in spirit but quite different in detail.

0.2. **Structure of the proof.**

1. As in [dJ], we choose a projection $X \dashrightarrow P$ of relative dimension 1, and apply semistable reduction to obtain a model $X' \to B$ over a suitable Galois base change $B \to P$, with Galois group G.
2. We apply induction on the dimension to B , therefore we may assume that B is smooth, and that the discriminant locus of $X' \to B$ is a G-strict divisor of normal crossings.
3. A few auxiliary blowups make the quotient X'/G toroidal.
4. Theorem 11* of [KKMS] about toroidal resolutions finishes the argument.

0.3. **What we do not show.**

[1]Partially supported by NSF grant DMS-9503276.

0.3.1. *Canonicity.* Our proof has the drawback that the resolution is noncanonical. Some of the steps are not easily carried out in practice, and in fact we almost always blow up in the smooth locus. However, it follows from the proof, that if $C \subset X_{ns}$ is a curve, then we can guarantee that $X' \to X$ is an isomorphism in a neighborhood of C. We do expect a slight modification of our argument to give equivariant resolution of singularities in the case where a finite group acts.

0.3.2. *Positive characteristic.* There is one crucial point where the proof fails if char $k = p > 0$. This is at the point where we claim that the quotient X'/G is toroidal. Given $x \in X'$ and $g \in \operatorname{Stab} x$ of order p, the action of g on $\mathcal{O}_{X',x}$ is unipotent. Thus even if X' is toroidal, we cannot guarantee that the quotient is toroidal as well. It might happen that the quotient is toroidal by accident, and it would be interesting to see to what extent such accidents can be encouraged to happen.

In any case, the quotient step goes through if $p \not| \#G$. See remark 1.10 for a discussion of a bound on $\#G$. As a result, there exists a function

$$M : \{ \text{ varieties with subvarieties } \} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

which is bounded on any bounded family, and which is "describable" in a geometrically meaningful way (M for "multi-genus"), such that whenever $p > M([X \supset Z])$, our proof goes through for the pair $X \supset Z$. We were informed by T. Scanlon and E. Hrushovski that the existence of a function M which is bounded on bounded families is known, by an application of the compactness theorem in model theory, for any resolution process, in particular Hironaka's. A proof of this was given in [E].

0.4. **Acknowledgements.** We would like to thank B. Hassett, Y. Karshon, S. Katz, D. Rohrlich, T. Scanlon, and M. Spivakovsky for discussions relevant to the subject of this paper.

0.5. **Terminology.** We recall some definitions; we restrict ourselves to the case of varieties over k.

A **modification** is a proper birational morphism of irreducible varieties.

An **alteration** $a : B_1 \to B$ is a proper, surjective, generically finite morphism of irreducible varieties, see [dJ, 2.20]. The alteration a is a **Galois alteration** if there is a finite group $G \subset \operatorname{Aut}_B(B_1)$ such that the associated morphism $B_1/G \to B$ is birational, compare [dJ2, 5.3].

Let a finite group G act on a (possibly reducible) variety Z. Let $Z = \cup Z_i$ be the decomposition of Z into irreducible components. We say that Z **is G-strict** if the union of translates $\cup_{g \in G} g(Z_i)$ of each component Z_i is a normal variety.

We simply say that Z is **strict** if it is G-strict for the trivial group, namely every Z_i is normal.

A divisor $D \subset X$ is called a **divisor of normal crossings** if étale locally at every point it is the zero set of $u_1 \cdots u_k$ where $u_1, \ldots, u_k$ is part of a regular system of parameters. Thus a strict divisor of normal crossings is what is usually called a divisor of strict normal crossings, i.e., all components of D are nonsigular.

An open embedding $U \hookrightarrow X$ is called a **toroidal embedding** if locally in the étale topology (or classical topology in case $k = \mathbb{C}$, or formally) it is isomorphic to a torus embedding $T \hookrightarrow V$, (see [KKMS], II§1). If $D = X \setminus U$, we will sometimes denote this toroidal embedding by (X, D). A finite group action $G \subset \mathrm{Aut}(U \hookrightarrow X)$ is said to be **toroidal** if the stabilizer of every point is identified on the appropriate neighborhood with a subgroup of the torus T. We say that a toroidal action is **G-strict** if $X \setminus U$ is G-strict. In particular the toroidal embedding itself is said to be strict if $X \setminus U$ is strict. This is the same as the notion of **toroidal embedding without self-intersections** in [KKMS].

The fundamental theorem about toroidal embeddings we will exploit is the following:

Theorem 0.4 ([KKMS], II §2, Theorem 11*, p. 94)**.** *For any strict toroidal embedding $U \hookrightarrow X$ there exists a canonical sheaf of ideals $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ such that the blowup $B_{\mathcal{I}}(X)$ is nonsingular.*

(See [KKMS], II §2, definition 1 for the notion of canonical modification.)

1. The proof

1.1. **Reduction steps.** We argue by induction on $d = \dim X$. The case $d = 1$ is given by normalizing X.

As in [dJ], 3.6-3.10 we may assume that

1. *X is projective and normal, and*
2. *Z is the support of a divisor.*

Recall that according to [dJ], lemma 3.11 there is a modification $X' \to X$ and a generically smooth morphism $f : X' \to P = \mathbb{P}^{d-1}$ satisfying the following properties:

3. *The modification $X' \to X$ is isomorphic in a neighborhood of Z,*
4. *every fiber of f is of pure dimension 1,*
5. *the smooth locus of f is dense in every fiber, and*
6. *the morphism $f_{|Z} : Z \to P$ is finite.*

Since the construction in [dJ] is obtained via a general projection, we can guarantee that

7. *the generic fiber of $f : X' \to P$ is a geometrically connected curve* (this follows from [J], 6.3(4), see [ℵ], 4.2).

We now replace X by X'.

Applying Lemma 3.13 of [dJ], we may choose a divisor $D \subset X$ mapping finitely to P, which meets every component of every fiber of f in at least 3 smooth points. We may replace Z by $Z \cup D$ (see [dJ, 3.9]), and therefore we have

8. *Z meets every component of every fiber of f in at least 3 smooth points.*

Let $\Delta_X \subset P$ be the discriminant locus of the map $X \to P$, and let $\Delta_Z \subset P$ be the discriminant locus of the map $Z \to P$. Let $\Delta = \Delta_Z \cup \Delta_X$. We can think of Δ as the discriminant locus of the pair $Z \subset X \to P$.

The inductive assumption gives us a resolution of singularities of $\Delta \subset P$. Thus we may replace X, Z, P and assume that P is smooth and Δ is a strict divisor of normal crossings. Let $\nu : X^{\mathrm{nor}} \to X$ be the normalization. By [dJ-O], the discriminant locus of $\nu^{-1}Z \subset X^{\mathrm{nor}} \to P$ is a divisor Δ' contained in Δ. We replace X by X^{nor} and Δ by Δ'. Thus we may assume in addition to **1** - **8**, that

9. *P is smooth, and*
10. *Δ is a strict normal crossings divisor.*

1.2. **Stable reduction.** We are now ready to perform stable reduction. We follow [dJ], 3.18-3.21, but see Remark 1.2 below. Let $j : U_0 = P \setminus \Delta \hookrightarrow P$. Let $a_U : U_0' \to U_0$ be an étale Galois cover which splits the projection $Z_{U_0} = j^{-1}(U_0) \cap Z \to U_0$ into n sections, and trivializes the 3-torsion subgroup in the relative Jacobian of $X_{U_0} \to U_0$. Let G be the Galois group of this cover. Let $P^\sharp$ be the normalization of P in the function field of U_0'. Let g be the genus of the generic fiber of $X \to P$. Let us write $\overline{{}_3\mathbf{M}_{g,n}}$ for the Deligne-Mumford compactification of the moduli scheme of n-pointed genus g curves with an abelian level 3 structure, see [DM], [PJ, 2.3.7], [dJ, 2.24] and references therein. There is a 'universal' stable n-pointed curve over $\overline{{}_3\mathbf{M}_{g,n}}$. We take the closure of the graph of the morphism $U_0' \to \overline{{}_3\mathbf{M}_{g,n}}$ in $P^\sharp \times \overline{{}_3\mathbf{M}_{g,n}}$ and obtain a modification $P' \to P^\sharp$ and a family of stable pointed curves $X' \to P'$. Note that $P' \to P^\sharp$ blows up outside of U_0'. We perform a G-equivariant blow up of P' to ensure that we have a morphism $r : X' \to X \times_P P'$, see [dJ, 3.18, 3.19 and 7.6]. Again this blow up has center outside U_0'. In summary:

Situation 1.1. *There is a Galois alteration $a : P' \to P$, with Galois group G, and a modification $r : X' \to X \times_P P'$ satisfying:*

a. *the morphism a is finite étale over U_0,*

b. *the morphism r is an isomorphism over the open set $U_0 \times_P P'$,*
c. *there are n sections $\sigma_i : P' \to X'$ such that the proper transform Z' of Z is the union of their images, and*
d. *$(X' \to P', \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$ is a stable pointed curve of genus g.*

Remark 1.2. The results of [dJ-O] imply that the curve X' exists over the variety $P^\sharp$. We suspect that the morphism r exists over $P^\sharp$ as well. If this is true, the following step is redundant. See [ℵ] for a similar construction using Kontsevich's space of stable maps.

We want to change the situation such that we get $P' = P^\sharp$. This we can do as follows. Take a blow up $\beta : P_1 \to P$ such that the strict transform P_1' of P' with respect to β is finite flat over P_1, see [RG]. By our inductive assumption, we may assume that P_1 is nonsingular and that the inverse image Δ_1 of Δ in P_1 is a divisor with normal crossings. The Galois covering $U_0' \to U_0$ pulls back to a Galois covering of $P_1 \setminus \Delta_1$, and we get a ramified normal covering $P_1^\sharp$ of P_1. It follows that $P_1^\sharp$ maps to the strict transform P_1'. Hence it is clear that if we replace P by P_1, Δ by Δ_1, X' by $X' \times_P' P_1^\sharp$, then the morphism r of 1.1 exists over $P_1^\sharp$.

We now have in addition to (**a**) - (**d**),

e. *P is smooth, Δ is a strict divisor of normal crossings, and $a : P' \to P$ is finite.*

Since stable reduction over a normal base is unique (see [dJ-O], 2.3) we have that the action of G lifts to X'. Note that the G-action does not preserve the order of the sections. Note also that since the local fundamental groups of $P \setminus \Delta$ are abelian, so is the stabilizer in G of any point in P'. The same is true for points on X'. This property will therefore remain true for any equivariant modification of P', as well as for points on X'.

1.3. **Local description.** Denote by $q : P' \to P$ the quotient map. Let $p \in P$ and let $p' \in q^{-1}(p)$. Let $s_1, \dots, s_{d-1} \in \mathcal{O}_{P,p}$ be a regular system of parameters on P at p such that $\Delta_x = V(s_1 \cdots s_r)$. Recall that the stabilizer of p' is abelian; this actually follows from **(a)-(e)** above as the morphism $P' \to P$ is ramified only along the divisor of normal crossings Δ. Writing $t_i^n = s_i$ for suitable n, we identify a formal neighbourhood of p' in P' as a quotient of the smooth formal scheme $P'' = \mathrm{Spf}\ k[[t_1, \dots t_r, s_{r+1}, \dots, s_{d-1}]]$ by the finite group $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^r$ acting by n-th roots of unity on the t_i. Thus a formal neighbourhood of p' in P' is the quotient of P'' by a suitable subgroup $H \subset (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^r$. This implies that $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^r/H$ is identified with the stabilizer of p' in G.

Denote by $X'' = P'' \times_{P'} X' \to P''$ the pulled back stable pointed curve. As $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^r/H \subset G$ acts on X' over P', we get an action of $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^r$ on X'' over

P''. Let $x \in X''$ be a closed point lying over p', and let G_x be the stabilizer of x in $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^r$. There are two cases:

i. (Smooth case) Here x is a smooth point of the morphism $X'' \to P''$. In this case the completion of X'' at x is isomorphic to the formal spectrum of the $k[[t_1, \dots t_r, s_{r+1}, \dots, s_{d-1}]]$-algebra

$$k[[t_1, \dots t_r, s_{r+1}, \dots, s_{d-1}]][[x]].$$

Here we chose some coordinate x along the fiber such that G_x acts by a character ψ_x on x. There are two cases with respect to the position of the sections $Z'' \subset X''$:

(a) The point $x \in Z''$. In this case, since Z'' is invariant under the action of G_x, we can choose the coordinate x so that $Z'' = V(x)$.

(b) The point $x \notin Z''$. Note that the coordinate x is not uniquely chosen, and therefore the locus $x = 0$ is not uniquely determined. However, in case ψ_x is nontrivial if we want to see G_x as acting through the torus for some toroidal structure on X'' at x it is necessary to include a locus like $V(x)$ in the boundary.

ii. (Node case) Here x is a node of the fiber of $X'' \to P''$ over p''. In this case the completion of X'' at x is isomorphic to the formal spectrum of the $k[[t_1, \dots t_r, s_{r+1}, \dots, s_{d-1}]]$-algebra

$$k[[t_1, \dots t_r, s_{r+1}, \dots, s_{d-1}]][[x, y]]/(xy - t_1^{k_1} \cdots t_r^{k_r}).$$

We may choose the coordinates x, y such that there is a subgroup G_d of G_x of index at most 2 such that G_d acts by characters on x, y, but elements of G_x not in G_d switch the fiber components $x = 0$ and $y = 0$.

We would like to have the stabilizers acting toroidally on X'' in such a way that the quotient X becomes strict toroidal.

1.4. **Making the group act toroidally.** There are two issues we need to resolve: in case (**ii**) above, we want to modify so that G_x/G_d disappears from the picture. In case (**i**b) we need to modify so that the stratum $x = 0$ is not necessary for the toroidal description of the G-action.

In order to describe a global modification we go back to our stable pointed curve $f : X' \to P'$.

1.4.1. *Separating branches along nodes.* Let $S = \operatorname{Sing} f$ be the singular scheme of the projection f. Let $Y' = B_S(X') \to X'$ be the blowup of X' along S. Let Y'' be the fiber product $Y' \times_{P'} X''$, and let $y'' \in Y''$. We remark that neither Y' nor Y'' is normal in general.

We want to give a local description of Y' and Y''. We use the notation $X_{/x}$ to denote the completion of X at the closed point $x \in X(k)$, and similar

for the other varieties occuring below. We have $P'_{/p'} = \text{Spf } R$ where R is the ring $(k[[t_1, \dots t_r, s_{r+1}, \dots, s_{d-1}]])^H$, with H as in 1.3 and we have $X'_{/x'} = \text{Spf } R[[x,y]]/(xy-h)$ for some monomial $h \in R \subset k[[t_1, \dots t_r, s_{r+1}, \dots, s_{d-1}]]$. Finally $S = V(x,y)$ scheme theoretically.

From this it is easy to read off the following local description, using that blowing up commutes with completion in a suitable manner.

i'. (Smooth case) $Y''_{/y''} \simeq X''_{/x''}$ as above.

ii'. (Node case) $Y''_{/y''} \simeq \text{Spf } k[[t_1, \dots t_r, s_{r+1}, \dots, s_{d-1}]][[x,z]]/(xz^2 - t_1^{l_1} \cdots t_r^{l_r})$. The stabilizer $G_{y''}$ acts diagonally on t_i, x, z (i.e., it acts via characters on these elements).

iii'. (Double case) $Y''_{/y''} \simeq \text{Spf } k[[t_1, \dots t_r, s_{r+1}, \dots, s_{d-1}]][[x,z]]/(z^2 - t_1^{l_1} \cdots t_r^{l_r})$. The stabilizer $G_{y''}$ acts diagonally, but as in (**i**b) the coordinate x may not be determined.

The descriptions above determine the local structure of Y' also. Indeed, $Y'_{/y'}$ is simply the quotient of $Y''_{/y''}$ by the group H which acts trivially on the coordinate(s) x (and z). We are actually interested in a local description of the normalization $Y = (Y')^{\text{nor}}$ of Y'.

Let $D \subset Y = (Y')^{\text{nor}}$ be the union of the inverse image of Δ and of Z in Y. From the local descriptions given above it is already clear that $Y \setminus D \hookrightarrow Y$ is a strict toroidal embedding. Moreover, D is G-strict, since Δ is strict and since the blowup $Y \to X'$ separates fiber components. However, the action of G on the pair $(Y \setminus D, Y)$ is not yet toroidal: indeed, in case (**i'**) and in case (**iii'**) if the character ψ_x is nontrivial, we have a problem. More precisely, this is the situation explained in (**i**b).

1.4.2. *Torifying a pre-toroidal action.* Here we show how to do one canonical blow up $Y_1 \to Y$ (analogousely to [KKMS], II §2) which makes the action of G toroidal. The situation (Y, D, G) we reached at the end of Section 1.4.1 is summarized by the conditions in Defintion 1.4 below.

Remark 1.3. We expect that this discussion should be of interest in a more general setting.

Definition 1.4. Let $U = Y \setminus D \subset Y$ be a toroidal embedding, $G \subset \text{Aut}(U \subset Y)$ a finite subgroup, such that D is G-strict. For any point $y \in Y$ denote the stabilizer of y by G_y. We say that the action of G is **pre-toroidal** if at every point $y \in Y$, either G_y acts toroidally at y, or the following situation holds:

- There exists an isomorphism $\epsilon : Y_{/y} \cong \text{Spf } R[[x]]$,
- where Spf$R$ is the completion of a toroidal embedding $T_0 \hookrightarrow Y_0$ at a point $y_0 \in Y_0$,

- where $D_{/y}$ corresponds to $(Y_0 \setminus T_0)_{/y_0} \times_{\text{Spf } k} \text{Spf } k[[x]]$,
- where G_y acts toroidally on $T_0 \hookrightarrow Y_0$ fixing y_0 and
- G_y acts on the coordinate x via a character ψ_x such that the isomorphism ϵ of completions is G_y-equivariant. In other words, G_y acts toroidally on

$$(T_0)_{/y_0} \times_{\text{Spf } k} \text{Spf } k[[x, x^{-1}]] \quad \subset \quad Y_{/y}.$$

Analogousely to definition 1 of [KKMS], II §2, we define pre-canonical ideals:

Definition 1.5. Let $G \subset \text{Aut}(U \subset Y)$ be a pre-toroidal action. A G-equivariant ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_Y$ is said to be **pre-canonical** if the following holds:

For any y, y' lying on the same stratum, and any isomorphism $\alpha : O_{Y_{/y}} \to O_{Y_{/y'}}$ preserving the strata and inducing an isomorphism carrying G_y to $G_{y'}$, we have $\alpha(\mathcal{I}_{/y}) = \mathcal{I}_{/y'}$.

If $\mathcal{I}$ is pre-canonical, we say that the normalized blowup $b : (B_{\mathcal{I}}Y)^{\text{nor}} \to Y$ is a pre-canonical blowup.

Definition 1.6. We say that a pre-canonical blowup $\tilde{Y} \to Y$ **torifies** Y if G acts toroidally on $(b^{-1}U \subset \tilde{Y})$.

Theorem 1.7. *Let $G \subset \text{Aut}(U \subset Y)$ be a pre-toroidal action. Then there exists a canonical choice of a pre-canonical ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_G$ such that the pre-canonical blowup $b : (B_{\mathcal{I}_G}Y)^{\text{nor}} \to Y$ torifies Y.*

The theorem follows immediately from the affine case below:

Proposition 1.8. *Let $T_0 \subset X_0$ be an affine torus embedding, $X_0 = \text{Spec } R$. Let $G \subset T_0$ be a finite subgroup of T_0, let $p_0 \in X_0$ be a fixed point of the action of G, and let ψ_x be a character of G. Consider the torus embedding of $T = T_0 \times \text{Spec } k[x, x^{-1}]$ into $X = X_0 \times \text{Spec } k[x]$, where we let G act on x via the character ψ_x. Assume that the map $G \to T$ induced from this is injective. Write $p = (p_0, 0) \in X$ and write $D = (X_0 \setminus T_0) \times \text{Spec } k[x]$. There is a canonical T-equivariant ideal $I_G \subset R[x]$, satisfying the following:*

Let $b : X_1 = (B_{I_G}X)^{\text{nor}} \to X$, the normalization of the blowup of X along I_G. Let $U_1 = b^{-1}(T_0 \times \text{Spec } k[x])$. Then $U_1 \hookrightarrow X_1$ is toroidal and G acts toroidally on $U_1 \hookrightarrow X_1$.

If X_0', T_0', G', p_0' and ψ_x' is a second set of such data, and if we have an isomorphism of completions

$$\varphi : X_{/p} \cong X'_{/p'},$$

which induces isomorphisms $G \cong G'$ and $D_{/p} \cong D'_{/p'}$, then φ pulls back I_G to the ideal $I_{G'}$.

Furthermore, if q_0 is any point of X_0 and if $G_q \subset G$ is the stabilizer of q in G, then the stalk of I_G at q is the same as the stalk of I_{G_q} at q.

Remark 1.9. The ideal I_G is called the **torific ideal** of the situation $G \subset \mathrm{Aut}(T_0 \times \mathrm{Spec}\ k[x] \subset X)$.

Proof. For any monomial $t \in R[x]$ let χ_t be the associated character. We restrict these characters to G and obtain a character $\psi_t : G \to k^*$.

Define $M_x = \{t | \psi_t = \psi_x\}$, the set of mononials on which G acts as it acts on x. Notice that the character ψ_x of G is uniquely determined by the data $G \to \mathrm{Aut}(X_{/p}, D_{/p})$. Define the torific ideal $I_G = \langle M_x \rangle$, the ideal generated by M_x. We see that it satisfies the variance property of the proposition with respect to isomorphisms φ. We leave the localization property at the end of the proposition as an excercise to the reader.

Define $b : X_1 \to X$ as in the proposition.

Since G is a subgroup of T_0, we have that M_x contains a monomial in R. Therefore the ideal I_G is generated by x and a number of monomials $t_1, \ldots, t_m \in R \cap M_x$. The blow up has a chart associated to each of the generators $x, t_1, \ldots, t_m$. On the chart "$x \neq 0$" we have that the inverse image of D contains the inverse image of $D \cup V(x)$, and hence the action of G is toroidal, being toroidal with respect to $T \subset X$ on X. The other charts "$t_i \neq 0$" can be described as the spectra of the rings

$$\tilde{R} = R[x][u, \{s_j\}_{j \neq i}]/(ut_i - x, s_j t_i - t_j, h_\alpha),$$

that is $u = x/t_i$ and $s_j = t_j/t_i$. Since there are no relations between x and t_j we can take the h_α to be certain polynomials in $R[s_j]$ (the ideal generated by the t_i is flat over $k[x]$). Note that G fixes the element u in this algebra.

Thus it follows that the normalization of the ring $\tilde{R}$ is of the form $R'[u]$, where G acts trivially on u, R' is the ring associated to an affine torus embedding and G acts toroidally on Spec R'. □

1.4.3. *Strictness.* We return to the triple (Y, D, G) we obtained at the end of 1.4.1, in particular we have the G-equivariant map $Y \to P'$ and the Galois alteration $P' \to P$ with group G. Denote by $b : Y_1 \to Y$ the torifying blowup obtained by normalizing the blowup at the torific ideal of Y, as in Theorem 1.7. It remains to check that the divisor $b^{-1}(D) \subset Y_1$ is G-strict, so that the quotient is a strict toroidal embedding.

First, although a coordinate x for the pre-toroidal action is not globally defined, we may always find such coordinates on Zariski neighborhoods of the relevant points. Let $y \in Y$ be such a point. Let $\epsilon : Y_{/y} \cong \mathrm{Spf}\ R[[x]]$ be as in Defintion 1.4. Let $x' \in O_{Y,y}$ be an element of the local ring of Y at y that is congruent to $\epsilon^{-1}(x)$ up to a high power of the maximal ideal. Then the element

$$x'' = \sum_{g \in G_y} g(x')\psi_x^{-1}(g)/|G_y| \in O_{Y,y}$$

transforms according to ψ_x under the action of G_y and is congruent to $\epsilon^{-1}(x)$ up to a high power of the maximal ideal. We may then change the isomorphism ϵ so that the element x'' will correspond to the coordinate x. Therefore, we may assume that there is a G_y-invariant Zariski open neighbourhood $W = W_y$ of y and a function $x \in \Gamma(W, \mathcal{O})$ that transforms according to the character ψ_x under the group G_y, and giving rise to the local coordinate for a suitably chosen isomorphism ϵ as in Definition 1.4. After possibly shrinking W, we get that W is strict toroidal with respect to the divisor $D_W = (W \cap D) \cup V(x)$. If we choose W sufficiently small, we may assume that the conical polyhedral complex of (W, D_W) a single cone σ_W (see [KKMS], II §1 definition 5, through p. 71).

Now, to show that $b^{-1}(D)$ is strict, it suffices to show this on an affine neighborhood of any point. This follows immediately from theorem 1^* of [KKMS], applied to (W, D_W).

At this point it should be remarked that we could get away without G-strictness: using the constructions in [KKMS], I §2 lemmas 1-3 on pages 33-35, and [dJ], 7.2, it is not difficult to construct a G-equivariant blowup which is a G-strict toroidal embedding. Still it is of interest to know that $b^{-1}(D) \subset Y_1$ is already G-strict.

Let $E \subset b^{-1}(D)$ be a component and let $g \in G$ be an element such that $g(E) \cap E \neq \emptyset$. We have to show that $g(E) = E$. In the case that $b(E)$ is a component of D, this follows immediately from the G-strictness of D, see end of 1.4.1; the difficult case is that of a component that is collapsed under b.

Let y be a general point of the intersection $g(E) \cap E$, and let $y = b(y)$. Let $D_1, \ldots, D_s$ be the components of D at y. The components $g^{-1}(D_i)$ are the components of D at $g^{-1}(y)$. After reordering, we may assume that $D_1, \ldots, D_r$ are the components which contain $b(E)$, for some $r \leq s$. As $y \in g(E) \cap E$ we get $y \in g(b(E)) \cap b(E)$, hence $y \in g(D_i) \cap D_i$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, r$. By G-strictness of D, we get $g(D_i) = D_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$.

Let σ_y be the cone corresponding to the toroidal structure D_{W_y} at y of the second paragraph above. The divisors D_i correspond to rays $\tau_{D_i,y}$ in the boundary of σ_y. The divisor E corresponds to a ray $\tau_{E,y}$ in σ_y, belonging to the polyhedral decomposition associated to the given blowup. For the Zariski open neighbourhood $W_{g^{-1}(y)}$ we take $g^{-1}(W_y)$ and for the divisor $D_{W_{g^{-1}(y)}}$ we take $g^{-1}(D_{W_y})$. Hence we get an identification $\sigma_y \cong \sigma_{g^{-1}(y)}$ given by g^{-1}. Under this identification the ray $\tau_{E,y}$ is mapped to the ray $\tau_{g^{-1}(E),g^{-1}(y)}$. Note that E passes through $g^{-1}(y)$, hence we have the ray $\tau_{E,g^{-1}(y)}$ in $\sigma_{g^{-1}(y)}$. We have to show that $\tau_{E,g^{-1}(y)} = \tau_{g^{-1}(E),g^{-1}(y)}$.

Let M_y be the group of Cartier divisors supported along D_{W_y} (see [KKMS], II §1, definition 3). After shrinking W_y we may assume that M_y is the free abelian group generated by the divisors of rational functions $f_j \in \Gamma(W_y, \mathcal{O}), j = 1, \ldots, m$ and the function x on W_y. We may consider f_j and x as rational functions on Y_1 as well. The ray $\tau_{E,y}$ is determined by the order of vanishing of the functions f_j and x along E on Y_1, let us call these orders n_j and n. Similarly, $\tau_{E,g^{-1}(y)}$ (resp. $\tau_{g^{-1}(E),g^{-1}(y)}$) is determined by the order of vanishing of the functions $g^*(f_j)$ and $g^*(x)$ along E (resp. $g^{-1}(E)$), let us call these orders n'_j and n' (resp. n''_j and n'').

It is clear that $n_j = n''_j$ and $n = n''$. Notice that $g^*(f_j)$ are supported along $g^{-1}(D_i)$ in $W_{g^{-1}(y)}$. Here $g^{-1}(D_i) = D_i$ for $i \leq r$, so that f_j/g^*f_j has order 0 along $D_i, i \leq r$. Since the vanishing along E may be computed on $b^{-1}(W_y \cap W_{g^{-1}(y)})$, we see that $n_j = n'_j$. We are through if we show that $n = n'$; this is equivalent to showing that the order of vanishing of x along E is the same as the order of vanishing of $g^{-1}(x)$ along E.

The component E is exceptional for the morphism b. The torifying blowup b over W_y blows up inside $V(x)$ only. Hence we see that $b(E) \cap W_y$ is contained in $V(x)$, i.e., $n > 0$. The same argument applied to $g^{-1}(x)$ on $W_{g^{-1}(y)}$ works to show that $n' > 0$. For a general point $z \in b(E)$, we see that both x and $g^{-1}(x)$ define a local coordinate that can be used to define the local toroidal structure around z, as in Definition 1.4. Therefore, we need only to show that the valuation of x along E does not depend on the choice of the parameter x as in Definition 1.4.

Let $\epsilon : Y_{/z} \cong \text{Spf } R[[x]]$ be as in Definition 1.4. Any other $x' \in R[[x]]$ that gives a local coordinate for some pretoroidal structure is of the form

$$x' = ux + r_0 + \sum_{j \geq 2} r_j x^j,$$

with $u, r_0, r_j \in R$ and where u is a unit and r_0 is in the maximal ideal of R. The element u being a unit, we may divide by it without changing the orders of vanishing on Y_1. Thus we may assume $u = 1$. Consider the family of automorphisms φ_t, $t \in [0, 1]$ of $R[[x]]$ given by $\varphi_t(r) = r$, $r \in R$ and

$$\varphi_t(x) = x + t\Big(r_0 + \sum_{j \geq 2} r_j x^j\Big).$$

These are automorphisms that occur in Proposition 1.8. Hence these act on the formal completion $Y_1^\wedge$ of Y_1 along $b^{-1}(z)$, in view of Proposition 1.8. Since they form a continuous family (acting continuously on the charts described in the proof of Proposition 1.8), they will fix (formal) components of $b^{-1}(D_{/z})$ such as $E^\wedge$ in $Y_1^\wedge$. Hence the orders of vanishing of the functions $\varphi_t(x)$ along the

(formal) component $E^\wedge$ are all the same. In particular, we get the equality for x and x', as desired.

1.5. **Conclusion of proof.** If we combine the results of 1.4.1, 1.4.2 and 1.4.3 then we see that we may assume our Galois alteration (Y_1, D_1, G) of (X, Z) is such that $Y \setminus D_1 \hookrightarrow Y_1$ is a G-strict toroidal embedding. Hence the quotient $(Y_1 \setminus D_1)/G \hookrightarrow Y_1/G$ is a strict toroidal embedding, and $Y_1/G \to X$ is a modification. Hence we may replace (X, Z) by $(Y_1/G, D_1/G)$. By Theorem 0.4, there exists a (toroidal) resolution of singularities of this pair. This ends the proof of Theorem 0.1. □

Remark 1.10. If char $k = p > 0$ the proof goes through if it works on P, and if the exponent of the Galois group G is small enough. Since G can be taken as the Galois group of the torsion on a generalized Jacobian, we can bound it in terms of $g + n$, where g is the relative genus and n is the degree of the divisor Z. Given a family of varieties of finite type $X \to S$, we can make the constructions in the proof uniform over S. Roughly speaking, after replacing S by a dense open, there is a sequence of projections $X \dashrightarrow X_1 \dashrightarrow \cdots \dashrightarrow S$ and relative divisors $D_i \subset X_i$ which will do the job. Therefore the order of all groups involved is bounded in terms of the relative genus of $X_i \dashrightarrow X_{i+1}$ and the degree of $D_i \dashrightarrow X_{i+1}$. Thus there is a "geometrically meaningful" function M, as described in 0.3.2.

References

[ℵ] D. Abramovich, *Galois pluri-nodal reduction,* §4 in preprint.

[B-P] F. Bogomolov and T. Pantev, *Weak Hironaka theorem*, preprint.

[DM] P. deligne and D. Mumford, *The irreducibility of the space of curves of given genus*, Publications Mathématiques I.H.E.S. **36**, 75-109 (1969).

[E] P. Eklof, *Resolutions of singularities in prime characteristics for almost all primes*, Trans. AMS **146** (1969), 429-438.

[H] H. Hironaka, *Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero: I, II,* Ann. of Math. (2) **79** (1964), 109-326.

[dJ] A. J. de Jong, *Smoothness, semistability, and alterations*, preprint.
`ftp://ftp.math.harvard.edu/pub/AJdeJong/alterations.dvi`

[dJ2] A. J. de Jong, *Families of curves and alterations*, Preprint.
`ftp://ftp.math.harvard.edu/pub/AJdeJong/curves.dvi`

[dJ-O] A. J. de Jong and F. Oort, *On extending families of curves*, preprint.
`ftp://ftp.math.harvard.edu/pub/AJdeJong/extend.dvi`

[J] J.-P. Jouanolou, *Théorèmes de Bertini et Applications*, Progress in Math. 42, Birkhäuser: Boston, Basel, Stuttgart, 1983.

[KKMS] G. Kempf, F. Knudsen, D. Mumford and B. Saint-Donat, *Toroidal Embeddings I*, Springer, LNM 339, 1973.

[PJ] M. Pikaart and A. J. de Jong, *Moduli of curves with non-abelian level structures,* in: The moduli spaces of curves, R. Dijkgraaf, C. Faber, G. van der Geer (ed.), Birkhäuser, Boston-Basel-Berlin, Progress in math. vol. 129, 483-509 (1995).

[RG] M. Raynaud and L. Gruson, *Critères de platitude et de projectivité,* Techniques de ≪platification≫ d'un module, Inventiones mathematicae **13**, 1-89 (1971).